



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,722		01/28/2004	Ralph O. Johnson III	616/15A	3459	
23638	7590	04/29/2005		EXAMINER		
ADAMS I			KATCHEVES, BASIL S			
2180 TWO WACHOVIA CENTER CHARLOTTE, NC 28282				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOT	IL, IVC	20202	•	3635		

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>			
, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Average		10/766,722	JOHNSON, RALPH O.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Basil Katcheves	3635	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replot period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	March 2005.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

10 10

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant has cancelled claims 5 and 6 and added new claims 9-11. Pending claims 1-4 and 7-11 are examined below.

Double Patenting

The double patenting rejection of the previous office action has been overcome by the terminal disclaimer of 9/30/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said respective complimentary connector" and "the connecting member" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,671,578 to Hohmann.

Regarding claim 1, Hohmann discloses a connecting bracket (fig. 5) having a pair of straight arms (156) with connectors (158), a cross member extending between the arms (fig. 5: inverted V shaped portion), the cross member having a curve (where 154 points) to define first and second weld points (fig. 5: on left and right sides of curve 154).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,408,798 to Hohmann.

Regarding claim 1, Hohmann discloses a connecting bracket having two straight spaced apart arms (fig. 2: 54), with connectors on the ends (58), a cross member extending between the arms (56) having more than one curve (fig. 2: see curved opposite edges of 56 leading into 40), defining two weld points (fig. 2: curved edges of 56).

Claims 1-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,660,342 to Salisbury.

Regarding claim 1, Salisbury discloses a connecting bracket (fig. 8) having first and second laterally spaced arms (67) which are substantially straight, each arm having a connector (69) on an outwardly portion a cross member (61, 63, 62) between the arms, and the cross member having a curve (63) defining two weld points (61 & 62).

Regarding claim 2, Salisbury discloses the connector as being a single wire (fig. 8).

Regarding claim 3, Salisbury discloses the weld points as proximate the opposite ends of the cross member (61, 63, 62).

Regarding claim 4, Salisbury discloses eyelets (69) on the ends.

Regarding claim 9, Salisbury discloses eyes (69) as connectors.

Regarding claim 10, Salisbury discloses the arms (63, 67) as being parallel.

Regarding claim 11, Salisbury discloses the arms (67) as being perpendicular to the cross member (61, 63, 62).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 8 appear to be drawn to allowable subject matter as in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/2/05 have been fully considered but are moot under new grounds of rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

4/27/05

Examiner AU 3635